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Introduction
Despite the documented benefits of physical activity and published activity guidelines [1], a large proportion of the population does not perform sufficient exercise to maintain optimal health [2]. Health professionals are faced with the challenge of prescribing physical activity that will be sustained by the sedentary majority. Walking is eminently suited to physical activity prescription, as it requires no special skills or facilities and is achievable by virtually all age groups with little risk of injury [3]. It may more easily circumvent frequently cited barriers to exercise, such as ‘lack of time’ and the belief that one is ‘not the sporty type’ [4], than other forms of activity; it has also been found to promote better adherence than more intense exercise [5]. Walking is the most commonly reported physical activity among adults [6,7] and is frequently cited as an example of moderate intensity exercise that adults can accumulate throughout the day in order to reach the goal of 150 min/week [1]. It is often the activity of choice when adults are counseled to incorporate additional physical activity into their lives (e.g., [8]), and can be used as a means of active commuting, which may be more likely to be adopted and sustained than traditional exercise programs [9]. Walking has been described as the nearest activity to perfect exercise [10]. Building on several previous reviews [10–14,15], this paper reviews recent evidence of the health benefits of walking and promotion of walking behavior.

Recent findings
Large observational studies consistently show associations between walking and cardiovascular disease endpoints over long periods of follow-up. Intervention studies further support the health benefits of walking, showing improvements in clinical biomarkers and measures after shorter periods of follow-up. Walking appears to have cardiovascular disease-related health benefits in younger, middle-aged, and older men and women, in both healthy and patient populations. Pedometer-based, mobile phone-based, and computer-based programs are effective in increasing walking levels. Neighborhood and workplace amenities and programs may be important supports for walking behaviors.

Summary
Walking has the potential to play a key role in the primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease. Clinicians can prescribe walking to assist patients meet physical activity recommendations and help identify supports available to the patient.
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Observational studies
Observational studies suggest that walking for longer duration or distance may confer incremental protection against CVD (see Fig. 1 [16]). A recent systematic review [15] of longitudinal studies examining CVD risk with leisure or total walking duration, distance, frequency, energy expenditure, and pace found broadly dose-dependent reductions in CVD risk with increasing walking levels. On the basis of a meta-analysis, Zheng et al. [17] estimate that 8 metabolic equivalent task hours (MET) per week of walking (approximately 30 min/day, 5 days/week, consistent with physical activity recommendations [1]) is associated with a 19% reduction in coronary heart disease (CHD) risk. Evidence that CVD risk declines with increasing walking pace is strong and consistent, although this may be confounded by the possibility that walking pace is an indicator of fitness [15,18].

Associations between higher walking volume and intensity and reduced CVD risk are similar in men and women across a broad age spectrum. These comparisons of walking–CVD associations are confirmed by formal tests of heterogeneity by walking measure, sex, and age in two meta-analyses [17,19]. Additionally, associations appear to be stronger for reducing the rates of ischemic stroke when compared with other CVD outcomes such as CHD or hemorrhagic stroke.

Special populations
The favorable relationships between walking and CVD risk observed among adults who did not report undertaking previous vigorous physical activity [15] suggest that walking is a promising strategy for yielding cardiovascular health benefit in the obese, who are less likely to perform vigorous physical activity [20], as well as for general, sedentary patient populations.

Walking as a component of secondary prevention for patients diagnosed with CVD is supported by the existing recommendations to incorporate exercise into cardiac rehabilitation [21] and to promote gradual progression of exercise intensity to prevent acute cardiovascular events in individuals with low fitness [22]. Recently, Hamer and Stamatakis [23] found that walking was associated with reduced risk of CVD mortality in Scottish men and women with diagnosed CVD; this finding was consistent with a prior study [24] in a larger study population. Walking may be particularly appropriate, and accessible, to type 2 diabetic patients, who may be less able to perform vigorous physical activity than healthy individuals. Increased walking volume is associated with reduced CVD mortality [25] and events [26] among those diagnosed with diabetes, with some evidence that the cardioprotective effects of walking may be stronger in diabetic patients than those with normal glucose tolerance [27].

Active transportation
Walking or cycling for transportation is one way to accumulate physical activity as part of a daily routine and has become the focus of an emerging body of research. In a meta-analysis [28] of prospective or case–control studies examining CVD endpoints, walking and cycling for transportation were associated with an 11% reduction in CVD risk. A case–control study [29] suggests that the association between active commuting and incident myocardial infarction (MI) is partially mediated by changes in traditional CVD and inflammatory markers. Recent cross-sectional investigations support beneficial relationships between active commuting and dyslipidemia [30], triglycerides [31,32], high-density lipoprotein [32,33], diastolic blood pressure [31], and fasting insulin levels [31], although such findings are variable across studies and population subgroups.

Most studies examine any (versus no) active transportation, which prohibits assessment of dose response and may contribute to inconsistencies across countries (e.g., Scandinavian populations typically exhibit more frequent active transportation relative to US citizens) or sex [31]. Walking and active-transit research is limited by potential bias due to preexisting or subclinical illness and residual confounding by unmeasured CVD-related health behaviors, which are of critical concern in observational studies [15]. Experimental walking trials discussed in the next section can help to address these issues.

Experimental studies
Fourteen intervention studies [34–40,41,42–47] published in 2009 or early 2010 were identified in which walking was used as an intervention for one or more CVD-related health outcomes measured before and after
intervention. Because of the relatively short time frame of intervention trials, health outcomes are based on clinical biomarkers and measurements [e.g., lipids and blood pressure (BP)] rather than CVD endpoints (e.g., MI and CHD). These interventions involved walking programs requiring individuals to walk 20–60 min/day on 2–5 days per week for a period of 3 weeks to 12 months. Five of these studies [34–38] employed a randomized controlled trial design with most others using quasi-experimental approaches.

Several studies examined cardiovascular fitness – an independent risk factor for CVD [48] – as an outcome measure. In these studies, walking interventions resulted in consistent increases in fitness [38–40,41*] or an improvement in ability to undertake exercise [42–44] after intervention. The only study [37] in which no alterations in fitness were observed was underpowered to detect such changes. Recent evidence [39] confirms that walking needs to be of moderate intensity (e.g., increased breathing rate, turning red and perspiration) to increase aerobic fitness [49] and walking at a vigorous intensity confers greater fitness improvements [41*]. In earlier work, instructing apparently healthy adults to walk ‘briskly’ evoked intensity above the ‘moderate intensity’ threshold [50,51]. Walking also produces an adequate training stimulus in older adults [52] and adults with CHD [53].

Resting BP was used as an outcome measure in four of the interventions included in this review. In two studies, walking resulted in small but significant decreases in systolic BP, diastolic BP, or both among participants who had mild hypertension [35] or postmenopausal women with some indicators of metabolic syndrome [40]. Although the antihypertensive effect of aerobic exercise has been reported previously, it has generally been in response to exercise that is of at least moderate intensity [54]. The study by Hua et al. [35] is the first to report lowered resting BP as a result of a low-intensity walking program in mild hypertensive individuals. Recent experimental studies also report favorable effects of walking programs on body mass [40,41*], adiposity [38,40], and lipid profiles [40,45].

Three intervention studies [42,44,46] were designed to improve intermittent claudication in patients with peripheral vascular disease. Although relatively small studies, all report consistent increases in the distance that patients can walk before the appearance of leg pain following short walking interventions.

Clinicians can help identify useful tools and recognize environmental barriers and supports to walking for their patients; they may also become advocates for community changes that make active lifestyles and active transportation more feasible.

**Walking supports aimed at individuals**

Pedometer, mobile phone, and computer-based programs, and the promotion of active transportation, have been shown to be successful in assisting individuals as they attempt to increase levels of walking.

**Pedometers**

Pedometers are affordable, user-friendly tools that count the wearer’s steps and provide readily available visual feedback [55] on levels of physical activity. They may be helpful in promoting increased activity in daily living by stimulating progressively increasing daily step totals [11]. A meta-analysis [56] of 32 pedometer-based physical activity interventions reported that the use of pedometers achieved moderate increases in physical activity. Studies with an intervention strategy of 10 000 steps per day had the highest effect size compared with interventions with individualized goals or those that required participants to log daily steps.

Six studies published in the review period utilized pedometers to promote walking. A combination of setting step goals and using pedometers for self-monitoring is effective for increasing walking levels in overweight and obese women [57], older adults living in a community care facility [58], community-dwelling adults [36], and patients in primary care [59*].

For cardiac patients not attending cardiac rehabilitation, a telephone intervention incorporating pedometer use increased walking levels [60]. Lending pedometers through libraries is an effective, low-cost approach to enhance walking among community members [61].

Collectively, these studies indicate that pedometers can be effective tools to promote walking in both patient and nonpatient populations. To meet current physical activity guidelines [1], individuals should be encouraged to incrementally increase their walking levels to achieve the goal of walking a minimum of 3000 steps in 30 min on 5 days each week [62]. Expected baseline values for steps per day in special populations, for example, heart and vascular disease patients, have been published [63].

**Internet/e-mail/text message**

Although there is limited data on the effect of electronic/computer interventions to promote physical activity, current results are encouraging and it appears that response to an internet-based intervention is similar to responses to other more established interventions [64]. Increases in
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**Section 2: Supports for walking**

Walking supports include programs and tools for individuals and community-level supports.
Walking levels have been demonstrated by following: an e-mail-delivered program with employees [65], e-mail messages sent on a cellular phone to men and women aged 30–49 years [66], and text message reminders sent to University students [67]. Repeat interventions or booster strategies in the format of e-mail, phone, internet, group sessions, or combinations may be needed to maintain increased levels of physical activity over the long term [68].

**Active transport**

Public transit users accumulate greater total walking distance across income groups than nontransit users, participate in more multimodal trips that involve walking, and often walk greater distances between destinations [69]. In the UK, a survey of approximately 4000 adults showed that more than two-thirds of those who reported any active transport were sufficiently active through active transport alone to meet government recommendations for physical activity [70].

A systematic review of interventions to promote walking included 18 studies that used transportation walking; in general, walking increased as a result of the intervention [13]. Recent evidence from interventions to promote walking for transport is scarce, with only one study published in 2009. In this Swedish study [71], middle-aged, abdominally obese women assigned to either a moderate-intensity program with physical activity prescriptions focused on increased cycling and walking between work and home (with physician and group components), or a low-intensity group support, pedometer-based walking program, exhibited similar increases in walking commuting after 18 months. In contrast with cycling, walking levels remained stable after the initial increase, suggesting that walking for transportation may be an effective long-term strategy for increased physical activity in abdominally obese women. Of note, however, is that 80% of participants were public transport users at baseline and, therefore, this approach may have limited applicability to individuals who do not already use public transportation.

**Environmental influences**

The low-cost and facility-free nature of walking makes it suitable for physical activity promotion in a range of settings including residential neighborhoods, worksites, and community settings.

**Neighborhood environment**

The accessibility and ease of walking [6,7] may be enhanced by engaging in walking for exercise or transportation close to home. A rapidly growing body of built-environment research [72–75] provides some evidence as to how neighborhood amenities and design features may support or hinder neighborhood walking. Walking for transportation (as opposed to total walking or exercise walking) is generally more common in neighborhoods with lower levels of various urban sprawl measures [76] (or high ‘walkability’ [77]) such as mixed land use (e.g., residences intermixed with businesses or recreation areas), proximity to retail (e.g., shops and restaurants), high housing density, and well connected streets, which provide more direct walking routes. These relationships are variably evident in communities of middle-aged to older-age adults [78–82] and inconsistent for exercise walking [78,82,83,84]. There is evidence that other built-environment features such as parks, recreation facilities, and trails or bike lanes are also associated with higher walking levels [85–88].

However, the vast majority of built-environment research is cross-sectional, and recent longitudinal findings are mixed. Lee et al. [89] found that lower total walking was related to higher urban sprawl in cross-sectional but not longitudinal analysis, suggesting that associations between sprawl and walking are due to residential self-selection. That is, already active households may select neighborhoods supportive of physical activity [90,91]. In contrast, results from a longitudinal study [83] of African-American women, which estimated changes in walking among women who moved to neighborhoods of higher or lower density, supported causal influences of housing density on transportation walking.

Evidence from intervention studies is also mixed, although few focus specifically on walking and most examine multiple components such as walking programs, education, and pedestrian safety features (e.g., [92–95]), making it difficult to isolate the influence of modifications to the built environment. Large-scale community interventions to promote ‘active living’ (e.g., [96,97]) have been implemented, but postintervention walking levels have not yet been reported [98].

In an emerging line of research, objective measures of street-level pedestrian supports such as crossing aids or quality of sidewalks are related to higher walking levels [99,100]. Bus and transit availability may also support transportation walking, particularly in dense housing areas [83].

**Worksite**

Several studies have evaluated changes in walking behavior as a result of health promotion interventions in a range of settings. In most cases, the interventions are multilevel and not walking-specific, but steps per day or self-reported minutes of walking per day or week are used as outcomes. In a recent review of physical activity promotion initiatives in the workplace, Pronk [101] concluded that such programs have the potential to increase physical activity and improve the health of workers and reduce absenteeism and sick leave, thereby generating positive financial return for employers.
Several studies [58,65,102] measure walking outcomes following interventions at a regional community or workplace setting designed to increase physical activity, alter diet, or both with all reporting positive effects on walking levels. Gilson et al. [103] is the only study included in this review that specifically aimed to increase the volume of walking undertaken by staff in a workplace setting. University employees, encouraged to use their break times to undertake brisk walks of at least 10 min or encouraged to stand and walk more as part of their normal working day, added 6–10 min of walking per day as a result of the intervention. Although these increases in workplace walking are small, when combined with other activity performed outside the workplace setting they may contribute to an overall volume of physical activity that results in health benefit.

**Conclusion**

Physicians and public health professionals are in a key position to recommend that their patients increase levels of daily walking. Evidence from epidemiological studies suggest that even small improvements in the amount of daily walking are better than no walking, and greater increases confer larger cardiovascular health benefits. Patients may accrue short-term gains such as improved fitness, body composition, BP, and lipid profiles. Longer-term benefits include reduced risk of CHD, coronary events, and mortality. Patients should gradually raise their walking levels, with the public health recommendation of 150 min per week as a minimum goal. Clinicians can assure their patients that the risk of injury with this form of exercise is minimal.

Clinicians can also inform their patients of the vast array of community, workplace, and individual supports for walking. Pedometers are affordable and easy to use, and 10,000 steps per day goals appear to be effective in a wide range of populations. Use of public transit or walking for transportation also appears to help individuals increase overall walking levels. Patients may also wish to seek out opportunities at the workplace, such as using work breaks for brief, brisk walks. Clinicians should be aware that patients may live in neighborhoods more or less supportive of walking and that innovative mobile phone-based and computer-based programs are becoming available. Methods for improving walking levels and sustaining them in the long term will be identified and developed in research still underway.
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