Read Aloud Experiences in a Second Language Arabic Context: Effects upon Vocabulary

Acquisition

Fiodhna Hyland & Seeham Malmaith, Higher Colleges of Technology, United Arab

Emirates and Kuwait University, Kuwait

Abstract

Teachers exhibit a variety of styles in reading aloud, which influences vocabulary development.

This study examined the effects of two conditions on student's second language English

vocabulary acquisition: listening to stories with an explanation of the unfamiliar target words and

listening to stories with no explanation of the words. The sample comprised 150 Grade Two ESL

children divided into two groups, treatment and contrast. Pre-tests and post-tests were

administered to measure the extent of new vocabulary acquired. Statistical analysis revealed that

students who listened to the stories along with a brief explanation of target words learned

significantly more new words and remembered them ten weeks later, compared with students

who heard stories with no explanation of the words. Offering simple explanations of target words

in the context of rhyming texts is discussed as an effective method of second language

vocabulary learning and extends previous findings.

Key Words

Vocabulary Acquisition, Reading Aloud, English as a Second Language (ESL)

1

Vocabulary acquisition through read aloud experiences

There is a strong body of research that acknowledges the vocabulary gains made by children in their first language during their primary school years (Nicholson & Whyte, 1992; Fondas, 1992). It is estimated that the average child learns approximately 45,000 words throughout their schooling, which is an average of 4,000 words per year (Nagy et al., 1984). There has been a growing interest in determining how children make such large gains in vocabulary knowledge. Previous research has considered studies of the relationship between vocabulary knowledge and reading comprehension, the role of context in learning new words and the effectiveness of different methods of vocabulary instruction. According to Werner and Kaplan (1950a, 1950b), children learn the meanings of words under two conditions: (a) through direct and explicit reference by adults when they name objects or define words and (b) through incidental encounters with words in verbal contexts. The incidental learning of new words from context has been reported in studies in which students listened to stories, rather than reading them (Swanborn & deGlopper, 1999; Rosenhouse, Feitelson, Kita and Goldstein, 1997; Brabham, Boyd, & Edgington, 2000). These studies varied conditions by changing frequency of reading, age of the students, and whether the story was read to the whole class or to individual students. In several studies, stories were read three times to individual children (Leung, 1992; Leung & Pikulski, 1990; Brett, Rothlein & Hurley, 1996). Findings from these studies indicated that repeated reading aloud of stories encouraged incidental learning of vocabulary. Elley (1989) extended this to multiple reading sessions with a whole class. Vocabulary gains more than doubled, having added explanations of target words during the reading. He also observed that the number of times a word occurred in a story was positively related to the likelihood that a student would learn that word. However, criticism from Robbins & Ehri, (1994) highlight that the conclusions that can be

drawn from these studies are limited as children may have learned new words not from listening to stories but rather from attending to discussions of the unfamiliar words.

What constitutes appropriate literacy instruction including vocabulary instruction has resulted in increased interest in programmes such as whole language and literature-based instruction (Aaron, Chall, Durkin, Goodman, & Strickland, 1990; Beck & McKeown, 1991; Brabham & Brown, 2002). Research has shown that attitudes towards reading and achievement of students for whom English is a second language improved when trade books were used instead of graded readers (Walker-Dalhouse, 1993; Gunter, 1995). Using English literature in the classroom may provide these children with the opportunity to listen to a variety of books and increase their interest in reading in a second language.

Reading aloud to second language children has been shown to be a natural and relatively low anxiety way to help increase their vocabulary (Strickland, Morrow, Feitelson and Iraqi, 1990). However to 'know' a word, a bilingual child has to have both definitional and contextual information about the word. The use of inferring the meaning of unknown words in context is a process of acquiring new vocabulary by utilizing attributes and contexts that are familiar. Second language learners, however, bring with them limited common experiences. If as Fondas (1992) claims that "listening to words in context adds to the number of meanings in a child's receptive vocabulary", then reading stories aloud could provide a common experience by giving children learning English as a second language commonality to the new vocabulary. In this way, vocabulary is not taught in a vacuum.

The present study was conducted to extend previous literature through a study of vocabulary acquisition with young second language Arabic learners and to provide information that teachers can use to make reading aloud a more powerful tool for literacy learning in the second language classroom. The purpose of this study was to compare the effects of two conditions on Grade Two students' second language vocabulary acquisition: listening to stories with a brief explanation of the meaning of unfamiliar target words as they were encountered in the stories (the treatment condition) and listening to stories with no explanation of the words (the contrast condition). The aim was to extend Elley's (1989) study in which first language children made significant gains when explanation of words was provided. Would similar results be obtained if the intervention were applied in a Second Language Arabic context?

Participants

One hundred and fifty Grade Two students from six mixed-ability classrooms in an immersion bilingual Arabic-English school, participated in the study. Three of the classes were randomly assigned to the story-with-word-explanation group (N=75) and three classes to the story-only group (N=75). Ethnic backgrounds were 80% Kuwaiti and 20% other Arabic nationalities including Jordanian, Omani and Palestinian. The students were six to seven years of age with Arabic as their first language. Students' reading levels ranged from Grade levels of 1.1 to 3.5.

Materials and Procedure

The ten texts selected for the study were 'Rumble in the Jungle'; 'Bertie the Lazy Crow'; 'Walking through the Jungle'; 'The Lost Tail'; 'Bears Don't Scare Me'; 'The Greedy Fox'; 'The Mice and Mr. Newman'; 'This is the Bear'; 'Where's my Teddy?' and 'The Fish who couldn't Wish'. All texts chosen were repetitious and rhythmic in nature with simple sentence structures.

These texts were chosen because of their suitability for second language learners of English, including colourful illustrations, limited text on each page, repetitive, rhyming language and dialogue. None of these books had been read to the students previous to the study by teachers.

A total of thirty words most likely to be unfamiliar to Grade Two students was used to develop a multiple-choice pilot vocabulary test for each book. This pilot test was administered to 25 Grade Two students from a classroom not included in the study in order to determine which words were familiar to Grade Two children. On the basis of the results of the pilot test, words that were known by more than 20% of the students were removed. Words that were not known by at least 80% of the students were used in the multiple-choice pretest and posttest that was assembled for each book (see appendix 2). The 30 target words were *ravenous*, *gorgeous*, *ferocious*, *creeping*, *leaping*, *swinging*, *ache*, *audience*, *mound*, *snappy*, *terrible*, *stares*, *chuckles*, *sleek*, *weeping*, *juicy*, *murmuring*, *creatures*, *chase*, *mousetraps*, *queues*, *sack*, *pile*, *dump*, *horrible*, *sobbing*, *gigantic*, *wished*, *night*, *silly*. These words were a combination of verbs, adjectives and nouns.

The tests were administered by the classroom teachers; the teachers read each item aloud, and students were required to circle the correct meaning for each word. The protocols for administration were exactly the same for both conditions. One point was given for each correct item. The day prior to the reading of the first book, a pretest of the thirty target vocabulary words from the selected books was administered to the two groups, treatment and contrast. The three teachers of the story-with-word-explanation group (treatment group) read each book once, over a period of ten weeks. Three new words were introduced during each session. As they read the story, the teachers gave a brief explanation of each target word as it was encountered in the text.

The teachers were told that when they came to a target word in the book they were to stop and read the definition that was provided by the researcher. For example, for the word 'ferocious', the teachers read the definition, "a fierce angry animal." The students would then be encouraged to repeat the new word. Teachers also reread the sentence from the book and then pointed out that other words could be substituted for 'ferocious' that would not change the meaning of the story. The teachers then read the researchers' paraphrased sentence to the students - "The tiger was so fierce that everyone was frightened of him." No further explanation of the target words was given. The three teachers of the story-only group also read the books aloud but provided no explanation of the target words.

Ten weeks later, a 30-item posttest of the same target vocabulary words from each book was given to both groups to see if they remembered the meanings of the words.

Comparison and Analysis of Two Groups – Pre Test Scores

The researchers wished to compare the scores of the two groups before the intervention, as marked differences in pre-test scores could affect analysis of scores on the post-test (e.g. ceiling effects if pretest scores were high). Moreover, if the two groups were found to differ in vocabulary acquisition at the outset, as evidenced from the pre-test scores, then this would have a bearing on the conclusions that could be drawn. When the mean scores were calculated for both groups, it was noted that the Contrast group had a very slightly lower mean. The difference in the means of the two groups was 2.67%, which was less than one correct answer. Additionally, when the results were subjected to a student T Test to establish the significance of that difference, there was no significant difference found. T (1, 148) = 1.35, p=0.17808127. Therefore, the scores can be assumed to have been generated from similar populations (See Table 3).

It was considered that the two groups were matched well enough on vocabulary knowledge at the start of the study, to warrant comparison on a post - test at the end of the study.

Comparison and Analysis of Two Groups: Post - Test Scores

The researcher compared the scores of the two groups after the intervention to ascertain the effect of read aloud experiences (using explanation of target words) on the vocabulary acquisition of the treatment group. When the mean scores were calculated for both groups, it was revealed that the treatment group had a higher mean of 27.96 (93.2%) in comparison to the contrast group's mean of 16.28 (54.27%) This was a difference of 11.68 (38.93%) (See Table 2). Both groups demonstrated increased levels of vocabulary knowledge at the end of the study, but the increase was greater for the Treatment group.

The mean gain scores of the Treatment and Contrast groups were also calculated, to compare the post-test and pre-test scores. It was observed that the Treatment group made higher mean gains of 12.16 (40.53%). Although the mean average scores improved for the Contrast group with 1.28 (4.27%), the gains were significantly lower than that of the Treatment group (See Table 2). In conclusion, the findings indicate that the Treatment group had a higher mean and greater mean gain, after the intervention of read aloud experiences with explanation of target words.

In order to investigate whether the scores obtained in the post-test for the groups were significantly different and as a result of something other than chance, it was decided to test the null hypothesis.

Testing the Null Hypothesis using the T Test

The null hypothesis of the present study is:

'A Read Aloud approach using explanation of target words, has no effect on vocabulary acquisition, in a Second Language Arabic Context.'

By applying statistical analysis, the null hypothesis would either be accepted or rejected depending on the significance of the results. The scores of the vocabulary test were subjected to the student 'T test', which investigated whether or not the differences between pre- and post-test means were significant. Results revealed a significant difference between the Treatment and Contrast group scores. T (1, 148) = 21.85, p=3.61 x 10-48. As the 'p' value was less than 0.001, it can be concluded that the results were generated from dissimilar groups in the post-test. Consequently, the null hypothesis was strongly rejected (See Table 3).

The T Test revealed that the scores of the story-word-explanation group scored significantly higher than the other group on the vocabulary words. Students in this group knew an average of 12 more target words in the post-test.

The results indicated that the students in the story-with-word-explanation group made significantly more progress from the pre-tests to the post-tests than the other group. They had a greater mean gain of 12.16 (40.53%) than the other group, 1.28 (4.27%). The story-with-word-explanation group also scored significantly higher on the post-tests than students in the story-only group, 27.96 (93.2%) compared to 16.28 (54.27%). Students in the story-with-word-explanation group not only learned more new words, but they also remembered them six weeks later. When the means were subjected to a 'T test', it was shown that the difference was not

brought about by chance. It was therefore significant. The findings bore out the hypothesis that: "A Read Aloud approach using explanation of target words, has an effect on vocabulary acquisition, in a Second Language Arabic Context."

Discussion

Results of this study indicate that Grade Two second language students can acquire more new vocabulary from listening to stories, if there is a brief explanation of new words as they encounter them in the stories. Students in this study who heard the stories along with explanations of words remembered the meanings of an average of twelve new words from ten books ten weeks later. Although similar observations were reported by Elley (1989), it was in a first language, this study did not find, however, that oral presentation of words in the context of a story by itself resulted in increased vocabulary knowledge. In the studies in which listening to stories produced vocabulary growth, students heard the same stories three times (Elley, 1989; Leung & Pikulski, 1990; Warick, 1989). In the present study, however, students heard the stories only once. Other researchers, such as Stahl, Richek & Vandevier, (1991) have found that the frequency of exposure to the target word is a key variable affecting gains in learning vocabulary from context. Our findings indicate, however that repeated readings of the same story are not essential for second language vocabulary acquisition, if new words are explained as they are encountered in the story.

There is considerable support in the literature for reading aloud to children (Senechal, Thomas & Monker, 1995; Trelease, J. (1995); Campbell, R. (2001). Some researchers have concluded that the single most important activity in building the knowledge required for children's eventual success in reading is reading aloud. Listening to stories has resulted in higher scores on measures

of decoding, reading comprehension and vocabulary acquisition (Galda & Cullinan, 1991). Our study provides further evidence of the value of reading to children learning English as a second language. Reading aloud, accompanied by explanations of unfamiliar words as they occur in the story, appears to be an effective method of teaching these children the meaning of new words. The implications for teachers is that reading stories aloud, along with brief explanation of unfamiliar vocabulary words, can produce significant gain in the acquisition of second language vocabulary.

There are, however, some limitations of the study. Although the study was ecologically valid in that it took place in a natural reading situation, the use of rhyming books did not provide control of such variables as the number of contacts with each target word, the level of word difficulty, and other factors inherent in the texts. Another limitation is that we did not measure how much the target vocabulary words were discussed in the story-with-word-explanation group classrooms. Although teachers were asked to give no further instruction in these words, it is possible that because the target words had been pointed out and explained during the reading of the stories, students became more aware of them in other contexts. While students in this study learned the meanings of new words when teachers briefly explained the meaning of each unfamiliar word as it was encountered in the story, additional research is needed to find out whether second language students are able to internalise the new words and use them as part of their written and spoken vocabulary. The multiple-choice test used in this study, measured recall of the definition only, not the ability to use the words in oral or written context. Application could be evaluated by having students use target words in sentences or in stories about their everyday experiences or in similar written and spoken activities.

There is also a need for further research to identify the most effective, yet least intrusive way to

highlight vocabulary while reading to second language children, so that their comprehension and

enjoyment are optimal. To maximize the benefit of explaining words as they are encountered in

the story, it would be helpful to discover which components of the explanation of the unfamiliar

words are critical. Is it the definition of the word, using the word in the paraphrased sentence, the

interest in the story combined with using the word in context, or the combination of all of these

factors that make this an effective strategy? These questions need to be addressed by further

research.

Conclusion

Certainly, reading aloud to children is not the only solution to the challenge of increasing second

language vocabulary acquisition. However, this study has shown that commitment to a quality

read-aloud experience, in which student listen to rhyming stories along with a brief explanation

of target words significantly increased word knowledge, compared with students who heard

stories with no explanation of the words. Offering simple explanations of target words in the

context of rhyming texts has therefore been shown to be an effective method of supporting

second language vocabulary development. What better way to extend reading aloud experiences

with young ESL learners, than through listening to stories that integrates an explanation of the

unfamiliar target language?

Word Count: 3093

11

References

Aaron, I.E., Chall, J.S., Durkin, D., Goodman, K. & Strickland, D.S. (1990). The past, present and future of literacy education: Comments from a panel of distinguished educators, Parts I and II. Reading Teacherm 43, 302-311, 370-380.

Beck, I., & McKeown, M. (1991). Conditions of vocabulary acquisition. In R. Barr, M. Kamil, P. Mosenthal, & P.D. Pearson (Eds.), Handbook of reading research (Vol. 2, pp.789-814). White Plains, NY: Longman.

Brabham, E., Boyd, P., & Edgington, W. (2000). Sorting it out: Elementary students' responses to fact and fiction in informational storybooks as read-alouds for science and social studies. Reading Research and Instruction, 39, 263-286.

Brabham, E.G. & Brown, C.L. (2002), 'Effects of Teachers' Reading-Aloud Styles on Vocabulary Acquisition and Comprehension of Students in the Early Elementary Grades', Journal of Educational Psychology, Vo.94, No.3, pp.465-473.

Brett, A., Rothlein, L. and Hurley, M. (1996) 'Vocabulary Acquisition from Listening to Stories and Explanations of Target Words'. The Elementary School Journal, Vol. 96, No.4.

Campbell, R. (2001) Read-Alouds with Young Children. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.

Elley, W. (1989). Vocabulary acquisition from listening to stories. Reading Research Quarterly, 24, 174-187.

Fondas, L B (1992) 'The Acquisition of Vocabulary from Reading Stories Aloud'. Online – available www.askeric.org: Document No. ED348 661

Galda, L. & Cullinan, B. (1991). Literature for Literacy: What research says about the benefits of using trade books in the classroom. In J. Flood, J. Jensen, D. Lapp, & J. Squire (Eds.), Handbook on teaching the English language arts (pp. 529-535). New York: Macmillan.

Gunter, D.L., (1995) 'Imrpoving Vocabulary of Second Grade Second Language Learners through a Read Aloud Program'. Online – available www.askeric.org: Document No. ED 384 852

Leung, C. & Pikulski, J. (1990). Incidental learning of word meanings by kindergarten and first grade children through repeated read aloud events. In J. Zutell & S. McCormick (Eds.) Literacy theory and research: Analyses from multiple paradigms (Thirty-ninth year book of the National Reading Conference, pp.231-239). Chicago: National Reading Conference.

Leung, C. (1992). Effects of word-related variables on vocabulary growth through repeated read aloud events. In C. Kinzer & D. Leu (Eds.), Literacy research, theory and practices: Views from many perspectives (Forty-first yearbook of the National Reading Conference, pp.491-498).

Chicago: National Reading Conference.

Nagy, W.E., Herman, P.A., & Anderson, R.C. (1984). How many words are there in printed school English? Reading Research Quarterly, 19, 304-330.

Nicholson, T. & Whyte, B. (1992) 'Matthew effects in learning new words while listening to stories.' In C. Kinzer & D. Leu (Eds.), Literacy research, theory, and practice: Views from many perspectives, pp.499-503. Chicago: National Reading Conference.

Robbins, C & Ehri, L.C. (1994). 'Reading Storybooks to Kindergartens Helps Them Learn New Vocabulary Words'. Journal of Educational Psychology, Vol. 86, No.1, pp.54-64.

Rosenhouse, J., Feitelson, D., Kita, B. and Goldstein, Z. (1997) 'Interactive Reading Aloud to Israeli First Graders: its contribution to Literacy Development', Reading Research Quarterly, Vol. 32, No.2.

Senechal, M., Thomas, E. & Monker, J.A. (1995). 'Individual Differences in 4-Year-Old Children's Acquisition of Vocabulary During Storybook Reading', Journal of Educational Psychology, Vol. 87, No.2, pp.218-229.

Stahl, S.A., Richek, M.A., & Vandevier, R.J. (1991). Learning meaning vocabulary through listening: A sixth-grade replication. In J. Zutell & S. McCormick (Eds.), Learner factors/teacher factors: Issues in literacy research and instruction, pp.185-209. Chicago: National Reading Conference.

Strickland, D. S., Morrow, L., Feitelson, D. and Iraqi, J. (1990) Storybook reading: A bridge to Literacy Language. Reading Teacher, v. 44, p.264-265.

Swanborn, M. & de Glopper, K. (1999). Incidental word learning while reading: A meta analysis. Review of Educational Research, 69, pp.261-285.

Trelease, J. (1995) The New Read-Aloud Handbook. London: Penguin Books.

Walker-Dalhouse, D. (1993). Beginning reading and the African American child at risk. Young Children, 49, 24-28.

Werner, H., & Kaplan, E. (1950a). Development of word meaning through verbal context: An experimental study. Journal of Psychology, 29, pp.251-257.

Werner, H., & Kaplan, E. (1950b). The acquisition of word meanings: A developmental study. Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development, 15 (1, Serial No. 51)

Appendix 1

Table 1: Two Intact Grade Two Groups

| Group | Male | Female | Number of pupils |
|-----------|------|--------|------------------|
| Treatment | 40 | 35 | 75 |
| Contrast | 36 | 39 | 75 |

Table 2: Comparison of Mean Gains in Vocabulary Knowledge Test

| Group | Pre Test Mean | Post Test Mean | Mean Gain |
|-----------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| Treatment | 15.8 (52.67%) | 27.96 (93.2%) | 12.16 (40.53%) |
| Contrast | 15 (50%) | 16.28 (54.27%) | 1.28 (4.27%) |

Table 3: T-test on Pre- and Post -Tests

| | n | Mean | SD | T Value | Significance |
|-----------|----|-------|------|---------|----------------------------|
| Pre-test | | | | | |
| Treatment | 75 | 15.8 | 3.57 | 1.35 | P=0.17808127 |
| Contrast | 75 | 15 | 3.67 | | |
| Post-test | | | | | |
| Treatment | 75 | 27.96 | 2.94 | 21.85 | P=3.61 x 10 ⁻⁴⁸ |
| Contrast | 75 | 16.28 | 3.58 | | |

Appendix 2: Vocabulary Knowledge Tests

Directions to teachers:

Read the following aloud to students: "Circle the word or group of words that best tells the answer."

Read each statement and the four choices. Then instruct students to circle the correct answer.

Allow time for students to circle an answer before going on to the next item.

| Vocabulary Test for 'Rumble in the Jungle' | | |
|--|------------------------------|--|
| | | |
| Ravenous means the same as: | Ferocious means the same as: | |
| Thirsty | Excited | |
| Hungry | Fierce | |
| Brave | Frightened | |
| Ridiculous | Funny | |
| | | |
| Gorgeous means the same as: | | |
| Ugly | | |
| Beautiful | | |
| Good | | |
| Friendly | | |
| | | |
| Vocabulary Test for 'Walki | ng Through the Jungle' | |
| | | |
| Creeping means the same as: | Swinging means the same as: | |
| Walking too fast | Playing | |
| Walking with a limp | Swaying | |
| Walking backwards | Standing | |
| Walking on tip-toes | Sending | |
| | | |
| Leaping means the same as: | | |
| Running | | |
| Jumping | | |
| Walking | | |
| Leaning | | |
| | | |

| Vocabulary Test for 'The Lost Tail' | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| , own will , 1000 100 | | | |
| Ache means the same as: | Mound means the same as: | | |
| Aunt | Round | | |
| Cherry | Hill | | |
| Animal | Pound | | |
| Pain | Found | | |
| | | | |
| Audience means the same as: | | | |
| Queue | | | |
| Crowd | | | |
| Class | | | |
| Team | | | |
| V I I T 46 (D | D 1/C M.1 | | |
| Vocabulary Test for 'Be | ars Don't Scare Me' | | |
| Snappy means the same as: | Stares means the same as: | | |
| Quickly | Stretches | | |
| Sleepy | Stands | | |
| Pretty | Watches | | |
| Нарру | Waits | | |
| Парру | Trans | | |
| Terrible means the same as: | | | |
| Great | | | |
| Awful | | | |
| Terrific | | | |
| Bright | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| Vocabulary Test for | The Greedy Fox' | | |
| | | | |
| Chuckles means the same as: | Weeping means the same as: | | |
| Lies | Sleeping | | |
| Cheats | Writing | | |
| Cheers | Crying | | |
| Laughs | Dancing | | |
| Sleek means the same as: | | | |
| Good | | | |
| Shiny | | | |
| Creek | | | |
| Small | | | |
| Jiliali | | | |
| | | | |

| Vocabulary Test for 'Bertie the Lazy Crow' | | | |
|--|------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | |
| Juicy means the same as: | Creatures means the same as: | | |
| Spicy | People | | |
| Lovely | Flowers | | |
| Smelly | Houses | | |
| Tasty | Animals | | |
| | | | |
| Murmuring means the same as: | | | |
| Shouting | | | |
| Whispering | | | |
| Munching | | | |
| Eating | | | |
| Vacabulary Tost for 'T | he Mice and Mr. Newman' | | |
| v ocabular y Test Ioi T | me Mice and Mir. Newman | | |
| Chase means the same as: | Queue means the same as: | | |
| Face | Queen | | |
| Run after | Animal | | |
| Carry | Line of people | | |
| Race | Kind of food | | |
| | | | |
| Mousetraps means the same as: | | | |
| Something to catch a mouse | | | |
| A cage | | | |
| Something to kill a mouse | | | |
| A kennel | | | |
| | | | |
| Vocabulary Tes | st for 'This is Bear' | | |
| | | | |
| Sack means the same as: | Dump means the same as: | | |
| Bag | To jump | | |
| Sock | To play | | |
| Pillow | To get rid of | | |
| Hat | To pull | | |
| Pile means the same as: | | | |
| File | | | |
| Heap | | | |
| Pen | | | |
| Fish | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Vocabulary Test for 'Where's my Teddy?' | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--|
| | | |
| Horrible means the same as: | Gigantic means the same as: | |
| Delicious | Beautiful | |
| Beautiful | Grumpy | |
| Excellent | Small | |
| Awful | Huge | |
| | | |
| Sobbing means the same as: | | |
| Sad | | |
| Lonely | | |
| Careful | | |
| Crying | | |
| | | |
| Vocabulary Test for 'The F | <u>'ish who couldn't wish'</u> | |
| | | |
| Wished means the same as: | Silly means the same as: | |
| Waited for | Foolish | |
| Excited | Selfish | |
| Looked at | Bad | |
| Hoped for | Unhappy | |
| | | |
| Night means the same as: | | |
| Nice | | |
| Nasty | | |
| Time of day | | |
| Noisy | | |
| | | |