

Moduli stacks of vector bundles on curves and the King–Schofield rationality proof

Norbert Hoffmann*

Introduction

Let C be a connected smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over an algebraically closed field k . Consider the coarse moduli scheme $\mathfrak{Bun}_{r,d}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{Bun}_{r,L}$) of stable vector bundles on C with rank r and degree $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ (resp. determinant isomorphic to the line bundle L on C).

Motivated by work of A. Tyurin [10, 11] and P. Newstead [7, 8], it has been believed for a long time that $\mathfrak{Bun}_{r,L}$ is rational if r and the degree of L are coprime. Finally, this conjecture was proved in 1999 by A. King and A. Schofield [4]; they deduce it from their following main result:

Theorem 0.1 (King–Schofield). *$\mathfrak{Bun}_{r,d}$ is birational to the product of an affine space \mathbb{A}^n and $\mathfrak{Bun}_{h,0}$ where h be the highest common factor of r and d .*

The present text contains the complete proof of King and Schofield translated into the language of algebraic stacks. Following their strategy, the moduli stack $\mathcal{Bun}_{r,d}$ of rank r , degree d vector bundles is shown to be birational to a Grassmannian bundle over \mathcal{Bun}_{r_1,d_1} for some $r_1 < r$; then induction is used. However, this Grassmannian bundle is in some sense twisted. Mainly for that reason, King and Schofield need a stronger induction hypothesis than 0.1: They add the condition that their birational map preserves a certain Brauer class $\psi_{r,d}$ on $\mathfrak{Bun}_{r,d}$. One main advantage of the stack language here is that this extra condition is not needed: The stack analogue of theorem 0.1 is proved by a direct induction.

(In more abstract terms, this can be understood roughly as follows: A Brauer class corresponds to a gerbe with band \mathbb{G}_m . But the gerbe on $\mathfrak{Bun}_{r,d}$ corresponding to $\psi_{r,d}$ is just the moduli stack $\mathcal{Bun}_{r,d}$. Thus a rational map of coarse moduli schemes preserving this Brauer class corresponds to a rational map of the moduli stacks.)

This paper consists of four parts. Section 1 contains the precise formulation of the stack analogue 1.2 to theorem 0.1; then the original results of King and Schofield are deduced. Section 2 deals with Grassmannian bundles over stacks

*Mathematisches Institut der Universität Göttingen, Bunsenstr. 3–5, D-37073 Göttingen, Germany. email: hoffmann@uni-math.gwdg.de

because they are the main tool for the proof of theorem 1.2 in section 3. Finally, appendix A summarizes the basic properties of the moduli stack $\mathcal{B}un_{r,d}$ that we use. In particular, a proof of Hirschowitz' theorem about the tensor product of general vector bundles on C is given here, following Russo and Teixidor [9].

The present article has grown out of a talk in the joint seminar of U. Stuhler and Y. Tschinkel in Göttingen. I would like to thank them for the opportunity to speak and for encouraging me to write this text. I would also like to thank J. Heinloth for some valuable suggestions and for many useful discussions about these stacks.

1 The King-Schofield theorem in stack form

We denote by $\mathcal{B}un_{r,d}$ the moduli stack of vector bundles of rank r and degree d on our smooth projective curve C of genus $g \geq 2$ over $k = \bar{k}$. This stack is algebraic in the sense of Artin, smooth of dimension $(g-1)r^2$ over k and irreducible; these properties are discussed in more detail in the appendix.

Our main subject here is the birational type of $\mathcal{B}un_{r,d}$. We will frequently use the notion of a rational map between algebraic stacks; it is defined in the usual way as an equivalence class of morphisms defined on dense open substacks. A birational map is a rational map that admits a two-sided inverse.

Definition 1.1. *A rational map of algebraic stacks $\mathcal{M} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{M}'$ is birationally linear if it admits a factorization*

$$\mathcal{M} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{M}' \times \mathbb{A}^n \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} \mathcal{M}'$$

into a birational map followed by the projection onto the first factor.

Now we can formulate the stack analogue of the King-Schofield theorem 0.1; its proof will be given in section 3.

Theorem 1.2. *Let h be the highest common factor of the rank $r \geq 1$ and the degree $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. There is a birationally linear map of stacks*

$$\mu : \mathcal{B}un_{r,d} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{B}un_{h,0}$$

and an isomorphism between the Picard schemes $\text{Pic}^d(C)$ and $\text{Pic}^0(C)$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B}un_{r,d} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \mathcal{B}un_{h,0} \\ \det \downarrow & & \downarrow \det \\ \text{Pic}^d(C) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Pic}^0(C) \end{array} \quad (1)$$

Remark 1.3. One cannot expect an isomorphism of Picard *stacks* here: If (1) were a commutative diagram of stacks, then choosing a general vector bundle

E of rank r and degree d would yield a commutative diagram of automorphism groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{G}_m \\ (-)^r \downarrow & & \downarrow (-)^h \\ \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{G}_m \end{array}$$

which is impossible for $r \neq h$.

Remark 1.4. In the theorem, we can furthermore achieve that μ preserves scalar automorphisms in the following sense:

Let E and $E' = \mu(E)$ be vector bundles over C that correspond to a general point in $\mathcal{Bun}_{r,d}$ and its image in $\mathcal{Bun}_{h,0}$. Then E and E' are stable (because we have assumed $g \geq 2$) and hence simple. The rational map μ induces a morphism of algebraic groups

$$\mu^E : \mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{A}\mathrm{ut}(E) \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}\mathrm{ut}(E') = \mathbb{G}_m$$

which is an isomorphism because μ is birationally linear. Thus μ^E is either the identity or $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^{-1}$; it is independent of E because $\mathcal{Bun}_{r,d}$ is irreducible. Modifying μ by the automorphism $E' \mapsto E'^{\mathrm{dual}}$ of $\mathcal{Bun}_{h,0}$ if necessary, we can achieve that μ^E is the identity for every general E .

Clearly, the map μ in the theorem restricts to a birationally linear map between the dense open substacks of *stable* vector bundles. But any rational (resp. birational, resp. birationally linear) map between these induces a rational (resp. birational, resp. birationally linear) map between the corresponding coarse moduli schemes; cf. proposition A.6 in the appendix for details. Hence the original theorem of King and Schofield follows:

Corollary 1.5 (King–Schofield). *Let $\mathfrak{Bun}_{r,d}$ be the coarse moduli scheme of stable vector bundles of rank r and degree d on C . Then there is a birationally linear map of schemes*

$$\mu : \mathfrak{Bun}_{r,d} \dashrightarrow \mathfrak{Bun}_{h,0}.$$

Of course, this is just a reformulation of the theorem 0.1 mentioned in the introduction.

Remark 1.6. As mentioned before, King and Schofield also prove that the rational map $\mu : \mathfrak{Bun}_{r,d} \dashrightarrow \mathfrak{Bun}_{h,0}$ preserves their Brauer class $\psi_{r,d}$. This is equivalent to the condition that μ induces a rational map between the corresponding \mathbb{G}_m -gerbes, i. e. a rational map $\mathcal{Bun}_{r,d} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{Bun}_{h,0}$ that preserves scalar automorphisms in the sense of remark 1.4.

We recall the consequences concerning the rationality of $\mathfrak{Bun}_{r,L}$. Because the diagram (1) commutes, μ restricts to a rational map between fixed determinant moduli schemes; thus one obtains:

Corollary 1.7 (King–Schofield). *Let L be a line bundle on C , and let $\mathfrak{Bun}_{r,L}$ be the coarse moduli scheme of stable vector bundles of rank r and determinant L on C . Then there is a birationally linear map of schemes*

$$\mu : \mathfrak{Bun}_{r,L} \dashrightarrow \mathfrak{Bun}_{h,\mathcal{O}}$$

where h is the highest common factor of r and $\deg(L)$.

In particular, $\mathfrak{Bun}_{r,L}$ is rational if the rank r and the degree $\deg(L)$ are coprime; this proves the conjecture mentioned in the introduction. More generally, it follows that $\mathfrak{Bun}_{r,L}$ is rational if $\mathfrak{Bun}_{h,\mathcal{O}}$ is. For $h \geq 2$, it seems to be still an open question whether $\mathfrak{Bun}_{h,\mathcal{O}}$ is rational or not.

2 Grassmannian bundles

Let \mathcal{V} be a vector bundle over a dense open substack $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{Bun}_{r,d}$. Recall that a part of this datum is a functor from the groupoid $\mathcal{U}(k)$ to the groupoid of vector spaces over k . So for each appropriate vector bundle E over C , we do not only get a vector space \mathcal{V}_E over k , but also a group homomorphism $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_C}(E) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_k(\mathcal{V}_E)$. Note that both groups contain the scalars k^* .

Definition 2.1. *A vector bundle \mathcal{V} over a dense open substack $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{Bun}_{r,d}$ has weight $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ if the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k^* & \hookrightarrow & \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_C}(E) \\ \downarrow (-)^w & & \downarrow \\ k^* & \hookrightarrow & \text{Aut}_k(\mathcal{V}_E) \end{array}$$

commutes for all vector bundles E over C that are objects of the groupoid $\mathcal{U}(k)$.

Example 2.2. *The trivial vector bundle \mathcal{O}^n over $\mathcal{Bun}_{r,d}$ has weight 0.*

We denote by $\mathcal{E}^{\text{univ}}$ the universal vector bundle over $C \times \mathcal{Bun}_{r,d}$, and by $\mathcal{E}_p^{\text{univ}}$ its restriction to $\{p\} \times \mathcal{Bun}_{r,d}$ for some point $p \in C(k)$.

Example 2.3. *$\mathcal{E}_p^{\text{univ}}$ is a vector bundle of weight 1 on $\mathcal{Bun}_{r,d}$, and its dual $(\mathcal{E}_p^{\text{univ}})^{\text{dual}}$ is a vector bundle of weight -1 .*

For another example, we fix a vector bundle F over C . By semicontinuity, there is an open substack $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{Bun}_{r,d}$ that parameterizes vector bundles E of rank r and degree d over C with $\text{Ext}^1(F, E) = 0$; we assume $\mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$. The vector spaces $\text{Hom}(F, E)$ are the fibres of a vector bundle $\text{Hom}(F, \mathcal{E}^{\text{univ}})$ over \mathcal{U} according to Grothendieck’s theory of cohomology and base change in EGA III.

Similarly, there is a vector bundle $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}^{\text{univ}}, F)$ defined over an open substack of $\mathcal{Bun}_{r,d}$ whose fibre over any point $[E]$ with $\text{Ext}^1(E, F) = 0$ is the vector space $\text{Hom}(E, F)$.

Example 2.4. $\mathrm{Hom}(F, \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{univ}})$ is a vector bundle of weight 1, and $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{univ}}, F)$ is a vector bundle of weight -1 .

Note that any vector bundle of weight 0 over an open substack $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{B}un_{r,d}$ contained in the stable locus descends to a vector bundle over the corresponding open subscheme $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}un_{r,d}$ of the coarse moduli scheme, cf. proposition A.6. Vector bundles of nonzero weight do not descend to the coarse moduli scheme.

Proposition 2.5. *Consider all vector bundles \mathcal{V} of fixed weight w over dense open substacks of a fixed stack $\mathcal{B}un_{r,d}$. Assume that \mathcal{V}_0 has minimal rank among them. Then every such \mathcal{V} is generically isomorphic to \mathcal{V}_0^n for some n .*

Proof. The homomorphism bundles $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{V}_0)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V})$ are vector bundles of weight 0 over dense open substacks of $\mathcal{B}un_{r,d}$. Hence they descend to vector bundles A and M over dense open subschemes of $\mathfrak{B}un_{r,d}$, cf. proposition A.6. The algebra structure on $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{V}_0)$ and its right(!) action on $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V})$ also descend; they turn A into an Azumaya algebra and M into a right A -module.

In particular, the generic fibre M_K is a right module under the central simple algebra A_K over the function field $K := k(\mathfrak{B}un_{r,d})$. By our choice of \mathcal{V}_0 , there are no nontrivial idempotent elements in A_K ; hence A_K is a skew field.

We have just constructed a functor $\mathcal{V} \mapsto M_K$ from the category in question to the category of finite-dimensional right vector spaces over A_K . This functor is a Morita equivalence; its inverse is defined as follows:

Given such a right vector space M_K over A_K , we can extend it to a right A -module M over a dense open subscheme of $\mathfrak{B}un_{r,d}$, i. e. to a right $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{V}_0)$ -module of weight 0 over a dense open substack of $\mathcal{B}un_{r,d}$; we send M_K to the vector bundle of weight w

$$\mathcal{V} := M \otimes_{\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{V}_0)} \mathcal{V}_0.$$

Using this Morita equivalence, the proposition follows from the corresponding statement for right vector spaces over A_K . \square

Corollary 2.6. *There is a vector bundle of weight $w = 1$ (resp. $w = -1$) and rank $h = \mathrm{hcf}(r, d)$ over a dense open substack of $\mathcal{B}un_{r,d}$.*

Proof. Because the case of weight $w = -1$ follows by dualizing the vector bundles, we only consider vector bundles of weight $w = 1$. Here $\mathcal{E}_p^{\mathrm{univ}}$ is a vector bundle of rank r over $\mathcal{B}un_{r,d}$, and $\mathrm{Hom}(L^{\mathrm{dual}}, \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{univ}})$ is a vector bundle of rank $r(1 - g + \deg(L)) + d$ over a dense open substack if L is a sufficiently ample line bundle on C . Consequently, the rank of \mathcal{V}_0 divides r and $r(1 - g + \deg(L)) + d$; hence it also divides their highest common factor h . \square

To each vector bundle \mathcal{V} over a dense open substack $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{B}un_{r,d}$, we can associate a Grassmannian bundle

$$\mathrm{Gr}_j(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{B}un_{r,d}.$$

By definition, $\mathrm{Gr}_j(\mathcal{V})$ is the moduli stack of those vector bundles E over C which are parameterized by \mathcal{U} , endowed with a j -dimensional vector subspace of \mathcal{V}_E . $\mathrm{Gr}_j(\mathcal{V})$ is again a smooth Artin stack locally of finite type over k , and its canonical morphism to \mathcal{U} is representable by Grassmannian bundles of schemes.

If \mathcal{V} is a vector bundle of some weight, then all scalar automorphisms of E preserve all vector subspaces of \mathcal{V}_E . This means that the automorphism groups of the groupoid $\mathrm{Gr}_j(\mathcal{V})(k)$ also contain the scalars k^* . In particular, it makes sense to say that a vector bundle over $\mathrm{Gr}_j(\mathcal{V})$ has weight $w \in \mathbb{Z}$: There is an obvious way to generalize definition 2.1 to this situation.

To give some examples, we fix a point $p \in C(k)$. Let $\mathcal{P}ar_{r,d}^m$ be the moduli stack of rank r , degree d vector bundles E over C endowed with a quasiparabolic structure of multiplicity m over p . Recall that such a quasiparabolic structure is just a coherent subsheaf $E' \subseteq E$ with the property that E/E' is isomorphic to the skyscraper sheaf \mathcal{O}_p^m .

Example 2.7. $\mathcal{P}ar_{r,d}^m$ is canonically isomorphic to the Grassmannian bundle $\mathrm{Gr}_m((\mathcal{E}_p^{\mathrm{univ}})^{\mathrm{dual}})$ over $\mathcal{B}un_{r,d}$.

Here we have regarded a quasiparabolic vector bundle $E^\bullet = (E' \subseteq E)$ as the vector bundle E together with a dimension m quotient of the fibre E_p . But we can also regard it as the vector bundle E' together with a dimension m vector subspace in the fibre at p of the twisted vector bundle $E'(p)$. Choosing a trivialization of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_C(p)$ over p , we can identify the fibres of $E'(p)$ and E' at p ; hence we also obtain:

Example 2.8. $\mathcal{P}ar_{r,d}^m$ is isomorphic to the Grassmannian bundle $\mathrm{Gr}_m(\mathcal{E}_p^{\mathrm{univ}})$ over $\mathcal{B}un_{r,d-m}$ where $\mathcal{E}'^{\mathrm{univ}}$ is the universal vector bundle over $C \times \mathcal{B}un_{r,d-m}$.

These two Grassmannian bundles

$$\mathcal{B}un_{r,d} \xleftarrow{\theta_1} \mathcal{P}ar_{r,d}^m \xrightarrow{\theta_2} \mathcal{B}un_{r,d-m}$$

form a correspondence between $\mathcal{B}un_{r,d}$ and $\mathcal{B}un_{r,d-m}$, the *Hecke correspondence*. Its effect on the determinant line bundles is given by

$$\det \theta_1(E^\bullet) = \det(E) \cong \mathcal{O}_C(mp) \otimes \det(E') = \mathcal{O}_C(mp) \otimes \det \theta_2(E^\bullet) \quad (2)$$

for each parabolic vector bundle $E^\bullet = (E' \subseteq E)$ with multiplicity m at p .

Proposition 2.9. Let \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} be two vector bundles of the same weight w over dense open substacks of $\mathcal{B}un_{r,d}$. If $j \leq \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{W}) \leq \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{V})$, then there is a birationally linear map

$$\rho : \mathrm{Gr}_j(\mathcal{V}) \dashrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_j(\mathcal{W})$$

over $\mathcal{B}un_{r,d}$.

Proof. According to proposition 2.5, there is a vector bundle \mathcal{W}' of weight w such that $\mathcal{V} \cong \mathcal{W} \oplus \mathcal{W}'$ over some dense open substack $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{B}un_{r,d}$. We may assume without loss of generality that \mathcal{U} is contained in the stable locus and denote by $\mathfrak{U} \subseteq \mathfrak{B}un_{r,d}$ the corresponding open subscheme, cf. proposition A.6.

We use the following simple fact from linear algebra: If W and W' are vector spaces over k with $\dim(W) \geq j$, then every j -dimensional vector subspace of $W \oplus W'$ whose image S in W also has dimension j is the graph of a unique linear map $S \rightarrow W'$.

This means that $\text{Gr}_j(W \oplus W')$ contains as a dense open subscheme the total space of the vector bundle $\text{Hom}(S^{\text{univ}}, W')$ over $\text{Gr}_j(W)$ where S^{univ} is the universal subbundle of the constant vector bundle W over $\text{Gr}_j(W)$.

In our stack situation, these considerations imply that $\text{Gr}_j(\mathcal{V})$ is birational to the total space of the vector bundle $\text{Hom}(S^{\text{univ}}, \mathcal{W}')$ over $\text{Gr}_j(\mathcal{W})$ where S^{univ} is the universal subbundle of the pullback of \mathcal{W} over $\text{Gr}_j(\mathcal{W})$. This defines the rational map ρ .

The vector bundle $\text{Hom}(S^{\text{univ}}, \mathcal{W}')$ has weight 0 because S^{univ} and \mathcal{W}' both have weight w . Since the scalars act trivially, we can descend $\text{Gr}_j(\mathcal{W})$ and this vector bundle over it to a Grassmannian bundle over \mathfrak{U} and a vector bundle over it, cf. proposition A.6. In particular, our homomorphism bundle is trivial over a dense open substack of $\text{Gr}_j(\mathcal{W})$. This proves that ρ is birationally linear. \square

Corollary 2.10. *Let \mathcal{V} be a vector bundle of weight $w = \pm 1$ over a dense open substack of $\mathcal{B}un_{r,d}$. If j is divisible by $\text{hcf}(r, d)$, then the Grassmannian bundle*

$$\text{Gr}_j(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}un_{r,d}$$

is birationally linear.

Proof. By corollary 2.6, there is a vector bundle \mathcal{W} of weight w and rank j . Due to the proposition, $\text{Gr}_j(\mathcal{V})$ is birationally linear over $\text{Gr}_j(\mathcal{W}) \simeq \mathcal{B}un_{r,d}$. \square

3 Proof of theorem 1.2

The aim of this section is to prove theorem 1.2, i. e. to construct the birationally linear map $\mu : \mathcal{B}un_{r,d} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{B}un_{h,0}$ where h is the highest common factor of the rank r and the degree d . We proceed by induction on r/h .

For $r = h$, the theorem is trivial: Tensoring with an appropriate line bundle defines even an isomorphism of stacks $\mathcal{B}un_{r,d} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}un_{h,0}$ with the required properties. Thus we may assume $r > h$.

Lemma 3.1. *There are unique integers r_F and d_F that satisfy*

$$(1 - g)r_F r + r_F d - r d_F = h \tag{3}$$

and

$$r < h r_F < 2r. \tag{4}$$

Proof. (3) has an integer solution r_F, d_F because h is also the highest common factor of r and $(1-g)r+d$; here r_F is unique modulo r/h . Furthermore, r_F is nonzero modulo r/h since $-rd_F = h$ has no solution. Hence precisely one of the solutions r_F, d_F of (3) also satisfies (4). \square

We fix r_F, d_F and define

$$r_1 := hr_F - r, \quad d_1 := hd_F - d, \quad h_1 := \text{hcf}(r_1, d_1).$$

Then $r_1 < r$, and h_1 is a multiple of h . In particular, $r_1/h_1 < r/h$.

Lemma 3.2. *There is an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow E_1 \longrightarrow F \otimes_k V \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0 \quad (5)$$

where E_1, F, E are vector bundles over C and V is a vector space over k with

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rk}(E_1) = r_1, & \quad \text{rk}(F) = r_F, & \quad \text{rk}(E) = r, & \quad \dim(V) = h \\ \text{deg}(E_1) = d_1, & \quad \text{deg}(F) = d_F, & \quad \text{deg}(E) = d \end{aligned}$$

such that the following two conditions are satisfied:

- i) $\text{Ext}^1(F, E) = 0$, and the induced map $V \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F, E)$ is bijective.
- ii) $\text{Ext}^1(E_1, F) = 0$, and the induced map $V^{\text{dual}} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E_1, F)$ is injective.

Proof. We may assume $h = 1$ without loss of generality: If there is such a sequence for r/h and d/h instead of r and d , then the direct sum of h copies is the required sequence for r and d .

By our choice of r_F and d_F and Riemann-Roch, all vector bundles F and E of these ranks and degrees satisfy

$$\chi(F, E) := \dim_k \text{Hom}(F, E) - \dim_k \text{Ext}^1(F, E) = h = 1.$$

If F and E are general, then

$$\text{Hom}(F, E) \cong k \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ext}^1(F, E) = 0$$

according to a theorem of Hirschowitz [2, section 4.6], and there is a surjective map $F \rightarrow E$ by an argument of Russo and Teixidor [9]. Thus we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E_1 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0 \quad (6)$$

that satisfies condition i (with $V = k$).

(For the convenience of the reader, a proof of the cited results is given in the appendix, cf. theorem A.7.)

Furthermore, all vector bundles of the given ranks and degrees satisfy

$$\chi(E_1, F) = \chi(F, E) - \chi(E, E) + \chi(E_1, E_1) > \chi(F, E) = h = 1$$

because $r_1 < r$. Now we can argue as above: For general E_1 and F , we have $\text{Ext}^1(E_1, F) = 0$ by Hirschowitz, and there is an injective map $E_1 \rightarrow F$ with

torsionfree cokernel by Russo-Teixidor; cf. also theorem A.7 in the appendix. Thus we obtain an exact sequence (6) that satisfies condition ii (with $V = k$).

Finally, we consider the moduli stack of all exact sequences (6) of vector bundles with the given ranks and degrees. As explained in the appendix (cf. corollary A.5), it is an *irreducible* algebraic stack locally of finite type over k . But i and ii are open conditions, so there is a sequence that satisfies both. \square

From now on, let F be a fixed vector bundle of rank r_F and degree d_F that occurs in such an exact sequence (5).

Definition 3.3. *The rational map of stacks*

$$\lambda_F : \mathcal{B}un_{r,d} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{B}un_{r_1,d_1}$$

is defined by sending a general rank r , degree d vector bundle E over C to the kernel of the natural evaluation map

$$\epsilon_E : \text{Hom}(F, E) \otimes_k F \longrightarrow E.$$

We check that this does define a rational map. Let $\mathcal{U}_F \subseteq \mathcal{B}un_{r,d}$ be the open substack that parameterizes all E for which $\text{Ext}^1(F, E) = 0$ and ϵ_E is surjective. Then the ϵ_E are the restrictions of a surjective morphism ϵ^{univ} of vector bundles over $C \times \mathcal{U}_F$. So the kernel of ϵ^{univ} is also a vector bundle; it defines a morphism $\lambda_F : \mathcal{U}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{B}un_{r_1,d_1}$. This gives the required rational map because \mathcal{U}_F is nonempty by our choice of F .

For later use, we record the effect of λ_F on determinant line bundles:

$$\det \lambda_F(E) \cong \det(F)^{\otimes h} \otimes \det(E)^{\text{dual}}. \quad (7)$$

Following [4], the next step is to understand the fibres of λ_F . We denote by $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\text{univ}}, F)$ the vector bundle over an open substack of $\mathcal{B}un_{r_1,d_1}$ whose fibre over any point $[E_1]$ with $\text{Ext}^1(E_1, F) = 0$ is the vector space $\text{Hom}(E_1, F)$.

Proposition 3.4. *$\mathcal{B}un_{r,d}$ is over $\mathcal{B}un_{r_1,d_1}$ naturally birational to the Grassmannian bundle $\text{Gr}_h(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\text{univ}}, F))$.*

Proof. If E is a rank r , degree d vector bundle over C for which $\text{Ext}^1(F, E) = 0$ and the above map $\epsilon := \epsilon_E$ is surjective, then the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(\epsilon) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(F, E) \otimes_k F \xrightarrow{\epsilon} E \longrightarrow 0$$

satisfies the condition i of the previous lemma. This identifies the above open substack $\mathcal{U}_F \subseteq \mathcal{B}un_{r,d}$ with the moduli stack of all exact sequences (5) that satisfy i.

Similarly, let $\mathcal{U}'_F \subseteq \text{Gr}_h(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\text{univ}}, F))$ be the open substack that parameterizes all pairs $(E_1, S \subseteq \text{Hom}(E_1, F))$ for which $\text{Ext}^1(E_1, F) = 0$ and the natural map $\alpha : E_1 \rightarrow S^{\text{dual}} \otimes_k F$ is injective with torsionfree cokernel. For such a pair (E_1, S) , the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} S^{\text{dual}} \otimes_k F \longrightarrow \text{coker}(\alpha) \longrightarrow 0$$

satisfies the condition ii of the previous lemma. This identifies \mathcal{U}'_F with the moduli stack of all exact sequences (5) that satisfy ii.

Hence both $\mathcal{B}un_{r,d}$ and $\mathrm{Gr}_h(\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathrm{univ}}, F))$ contain as an open substack the moduli stack \mathcal{U}''_F of all exact sequences (5) that satisfy both conditions i and ii. But \mathcal{U}''_F is nonempty by our choice of F , so it is dense in both stacks; thus they are birational over $\mathcal{B}un_{r_1,d_1}$. \square

Still following [4], the proof of theorem 1.2 can now be summarized in the following diagram; it is explained below.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathcal{B}un_{r,d} - \overset{\rho}{\succ} & \mathrm{Gr}_h(\mathcal{W}) - \overset{\tilde{\mu}_1}{\succ} & \mathcal{P}ar_{h_1,0}^h & \xrightarrow{\theta_2} & \mathcal{B}un_{h_1,-h} - \overset{\mu_2}{\succ} & \mathcal{B}un_{h,0} \\
& \searrow \lambda_F & \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_1 & \\
& & \mathcal{B}un_{r_1,d_1} - \overset{\mu_1}{\succ} & & \mathcal{B}un_{h_1,0} &
\end{array}$$

Here μ_1 and μ_2 are the birationally linear maps given by the induction hypothesis. (θ_1, θ_2) is the Hecke correspondence explained in the previous section; note that θ_2 is birationally linear by corollary 2.10.

The square in this diagram is cartesian, so $\tilde{\mu}_1$ is the pullback of μ_1 , and $\mathcal{W} := \mu_1^*(\mathcal{E}_p^{\mathrm{univ}})^{\mathrm{dual}}$ is the pullback of the vector bundle $(\mathcal{E}_p^{\mathrm{univ}})^{\mathrm{dual}}$ over $\mathcal{B}un_{h_1,0}$ to which θ_1 is the associated Grassmannian bundle. Using remark 1.4, we may assume that μ_1 preserves scalar automorphisms, i.e. that \mathcal{W} has the same weight -1 as $(\mathcal{E}_p^{\mathrm{univ}})^{\mathrm{dual}}$. Then we can apply proposition 2.9 to obtain the birationally linear map ρ . Now we have the required birationally linear map

$$\mu := \mu_2 \circ \theta_2 \circ \tilde{\mu}_1 \circ \rho : \mathcal{B}un_{r,d} - \succ \mathcal{B}un_{h,0};$$

it satisfies the determinant condition in theorem 1.2 due to equations (7), (2) and the corresponding induction hypothesis on μ_1, μ_2 .

A Moduli stacks of sheaves on curves

This section summarizes some well-known basic properties of moduli stacks of vector bundles and more generally coherent sheaves on curves. For the general theory of algebraic stacks, we refer the reader to [5] or the appendix of [12]. We prove that the moduli stacks in question are algebraic, smooth and irreducible. Then we discuss descent to the coarse moduli scheme. Finally, we deduce Hirschowitz' theorem [2] and a refinement by Russo and Teixidor [9] about morphisms between general vector bundles.

Recall that we have fixed an algebraically closed field k and a connected smooth projective curve C/k of genus g . We say that a coherent sheaf F on C has *type* $t = (r, d)$ if its rank $\mathrm{rk}(F)$ (at the generic point of C) equals r and its degree $\mathrm{deg}(F)$ equals d .

If F' and F are coherent sheaves of types $t = (r, d)$ and $t' = (r', d')$ on C , then the Euler characteristic

$$\chi(F', F) := \dim_k \mathrm{Hom}(F', F) - \dim_k \mathrm{Ext}^1(F', F)$$

satisfies the Riemann-Roch theorem $\chi(F', F) = \chi(t', t)$ with

$$\chi(t', t) := (1 - g)r'r + r'd - rd'.$$

Note that $\text{Ext}^n(F', F)$ vanishes for all $n \geq 2$ since $\dim(C) = 1$.

We denote by Coh_t the moduli stack of coherent sheaves F of type t on C . More precisely, $\text{Coh}_t(S)$ is for each k -scheme S the groupoid of all coherent sheaves on $C \times S$ which are flat over S and whose fibre over every point of S has type t .

Now assume $t = t_1 + t_2$. We denote by $\mathcal{E}xt(t_2, t_1)$ the moduli stack of exact sequences of coherent sheaves on C

$$0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow 0$$

where F_i has type $t_i = (r_i, d_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. This means that $\mathcal{E}xt(t_2, t_1)(S)$ is for each k -scheme S the groupoid of short exact sequences of coherent sheaves on $C \times S$ which are flat over S and fibrewise of the given types.

Proposition A.1. *The stacks Coh_t and $\mathcal{E}xt(t_2, t_1)$ are algebraic in the sense of Artin and locally of finite type over k .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O}(1)$ be an ample line bundle on C . For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by

$$\text{Coh}_t^n \subseteq \text{Coh}_t \quad (\text{resp. } \mathcal{E}xt(t_2, t_1)^n \subseteq \mathcal{E}xt(t_2, t_1))$$

the open substack that parameterizes coherent sheaves F on C (resp. exact sequences $0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow 0$ of coherent sheaves on C) such that the twist $F(n) = F \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)^{\otimes n}$ is generated by global sections and $H^1(F(n)) = 0$.

By Grothendieck's theory of Quot-schemes, there is a scheme Quot_t^n of finite type over k that parameterizes such coherent sheaves F together with a basis of the k -vector space $H^0(F(n))$. Moreover, there is a relative Quot-scheme $\text{Flag}(t_2, t_1)^n$ of finite type over Quot_t^n that parameterizes such exact sequences $0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow 0$ together with a basis of $H^0(F(n))$.

Let N denote the dimension of $H^0(F(n))$. According to Riemann-Roch, N depends only on t, n and the ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$, but not on F .

Changing the chosen basis defines an action of $\text{GL}(N)$ on Quot_t^n , and Coh_t^n is precisely the stack quotient $\text{Quot}_t^n/\text{GL}(N)$. Similarly, $\mathcal{E}xt(t_2, t_1)^n$ is precisely the stack quotient $\text{Flag}(t_2, t_1)^n/\text{GL}(N)$. Hence these two stacks are algebraic and of finite type over k .

By the ampleness of $\mathcal{O}(1)$, the Coh_t^n (resp. $\mathcal{E}xt(t_2, t_1)^n$) form an open covering of Coh_t (resp. $\mathcal{E}xt(t_2, t_1)$). \square

Remark A.2. In general, Coh_t is not quasi-compact because the family of all coherent sheaves on C of type t is not bounded.

Proposition A.3. *i) Coh_t is smooth of dimension $-\chi(t, t)$ over k .*

ii) If we assign to each exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow 0$ the two sheaves F_1, F_2 , then the resulting morphism of algebraic stacks

$$\mathcal{E}xt(t_2, t_1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}oh(t_1) \times \mathcal{C}oh(t_2)$$

is smooth of relative dimension $-\chi(t_2, t_1)$, and all its fibres are connected.

iii) $\mathcal{E}xt(t_2, t_1)$ is smooth of dimension $-\chi(t_2, t_2) - \chi(t_2, t_1) - \chi(t_1, t_1)$ over k .

Proof. i) By standard deformation theory, $\text{Hom}(F, F)$ is the automorphism group of any infinitesimal deformation of the coherent sheaf F , $\text{Ext}^1(F, F)$ classifies such deformations, and $\text{Ext}^2(F, F)$ contains the obstructions against extending deformations infinitesimally, cf. [3, 2.A.6]. Because Ext^2 vanishes, deformations of F are unobstructed and hence $\mathcal{C}oh_t$ is smooth; its dimension at F is then $\dim \text{Ext}^1(F, F) - \dim \text{Hom}(F, F) = -\chi(t, t)$.

ii) The fibre of this morphism over $[F_1, F_2]$ is the moduli stack of all extensions of F_2 by F_1 ; it is the stack quotient of the affine space $\text{Ext}^1(F_2, F_1)$ modulo the trivial action of the algebraic group $\text{Hom}(F_2, F_1)$. Hence this fibre is smooth of dimension $-\chi(t_2, t_1)$ and connected.

More generally, consider a scheme S of finite type over k and a morphism $S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}oh(t_1) \times \mathcal{C}oh(t_2)$; let F_1 and F_2 be the corresponding coherent sheaves over $C \times S$. By EGA III, the object $\text{RHom}(F_2, F_1)$ in the derived category of coherent sheaves on S can locally be represented by a complex of length one $V^0 \xrightarrow{\delta} V^1$ where V^0, V^1 are vector bundles. This means that the pullback of $\mathcal{E}xt(t_2, t_1)$ to S is locally the stack quotient of the total space of V^1 modulo the action of the algebraic group V^0/S given by δ . Hence this pullback is smooth over S ; this proves ii.

iii) follows from i and ii. □

Proposition A.4. *The stacks $\mathcal{C}oh_t$ and $\mathcal{E}xt(t_2, t_1)$ are connected.*

Proof. Proposition A.3 implies that $\mathcal{E}xt(t_2, t_1)$ is connected if $\mathcal{C}oh_{t_1}$ and $\mathcal{C}oh_{t_2}$ are. We prove the connectedness of the latter by induction on the rank (and on the degree for rank zero).

$\mathcal{C}oh_t$ is connected for $t = (0, 1)$ because there is a canonical surjection $C \rightarrow \mathcal{C}oh_t$; it sends a point P to the skyscraper sheaf \mathcal{O}_P . Now consider $t = (0, d)$ with $d \geq 2$ and write $t = t_1 + t_2$. By induction hypothesis and A.3, $\mathcal{E}xt(t_1, t_2)$ is connected. But there is a canonical surjection $\mathcal{E}xt(t_1, t_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}oh_t$; it sends an extension $0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow 0$ to the sheaf F . This shows that $\mathcal{C}oh_t$ is also connected; now we have proved all connectedness assertions in rank zero.

If F and F' are two coherent sheaves on C of type $t = (r, d)$ with $r \geq 1$, then there is a line bundle L on C such that both $L^{\text{dual}} \otimes F$ and $L^{\text{dual}} \otimes F'$ have a generically nonzero section. In other words, there are injective morphisms $L \hookrightarrow F$ and $L \hookrightarrow F'$. Let t_L be the type of L ; then F and F' are both in the image of the canonical morphism $\mathcal{E}xt(t - t_L, t_L) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}oh_t$. But $\mathcal{E}xt(t - t_L, t_L)$ is connected by the induction hypothesis and A.3. This shows that any two points F and F' lie in the same connected component of $\mathcal{C}oh_t$, i.e. $\mathcal{C}oh_t$ is connected. □

Corollary A.5. *The stacks \mathcal{Coh}_t and $\mathcal{E}xt(t_2, t_1)$ are reduced and irreducible.*

The moduli stack \mathcal{Bun}_t of vector bundles, the moduli stack $\mathcal{S}stab_t$ of semi-stable vector bundles and the moduli stack $\mathcal{S}tab_t$ of (geometrically) stable vector bundles on C of type $t = (r, d)$ are open substacks

$$\mathcal{S}tab_t \subseteq \mathcal{S}stab_t \subseteq \mathcal{Bun}_t \subseteq \mathcal{Coh}_t.$$

Hence these stacks are all irreducible and smooth of the same dimension $-\chi(t, t)$ if they are nonempty. $\mathcal{S}tab_t$ is known to be nonempty for $g \geq 2$ and $r \geq 1$. Moreover, $\mathcal{S}stab_t$ and $\mathcal{S}tab_t$ are quasi-compact (and thus of finite type) because the family of (semi-)stable vector bundles of given type t is bounded.

Proposition A.6. *Assume $g \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{S}tab_t \rightarrow \mathfrak{Bun}_t$ be the coarse moduli scheme of stable vector bundles of type t , and let \mathcal{V} be a vector bundle of some weight $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ over an open substack $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{S}tab_t$.*

- i) \mathcal{U} descends to an open subscheme $\mathfrak{U} \subseteq \mathfrak{Bun}_t$.
- ii) $\mathrm{Gr}_j(\mathcal{V})$ descends to a (twisted) Grassmannian scheme $\mathfrak{G}r_j(\mathcal{V})$ over \mathfrak{U} .
- iii) If \mathcal{V} has weight $w = 0$, then it descends to a vector bundle over \mathfrak{U} .
- iv) More generally, any vector bundle of weight 0 over $\mathrm{Gr}_j(\mathcal{V})$ descends to a vector bundle over $\mathfrak{G}r_j(\mathcal{V})$.
- v) Any birationally linear map of stacks $\mathcal{S}tab_{t'} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{S}tab_t$ induces a birationally linear map of schemes $\mathfrak{Bun}_{t'} \dashrightarrow \mathfrak{Bun}_t$.

Proof. We continue to use the notation introduced in the proof of proposition A.1. By boundedness, there is an integer n such that $\mathcal{S}tab_t$ is contained in \mathcal{Coh}_t^n ; hence $\mathcal{S}tab_t = \mathrm{Quot}_t^{\mathrm{stab}}/\mathrm{GL}(N)$ where $\mathrm{Quot}_t^{\mathrm{stab}} \subseteq \mathrm{Quot}_t^n$ is the stable locus. Here the center of $\mathrm{GL}(N)$ acts trivially; by Geometric Invariant Theory [6], $\mathrm{Quot}_t^{\mathrm{stab}}$ is a principal $\mathrm{PGL}(N)$ -bundle over \mathfrak{Bun}_t .

i) Let $U \subseteq \mathrm{Quot}_t^{\mathrm{stab}}$ be the inverse image of \mathcal{U} . Then U is a $\mathrm{PGL}(N)$ -stable open subscheme in the total space of this principal bundle and hence the inverse image of a unique open subscheme $\mathfrak{U} \subseteq \mathfrak{Bun}_t$.

ii) Let V be the pullback of \mathcal{V} to U ; it is a vector bundle with $\mathrm{GL}(N)$ -action. Hence its Grassmannian scheme $\mathrm{Gr}_j(V) \rightarrow U$ also carries an action of $\mathrm{GL}(N)$. But here the center acts trivially: $\lambda \cdot \mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{GL}(N)$ acts as the scalar λ^w on the fibres of V and hence acts trivially on $\mathrm{Gr}_j(V)$. Thus we obtain an action of $\mathrm{PGL}(N)$ on our Grassmannian scheme $\mathrm{Gr}_j(V)$ over U . Since this action is free, $\mathrm{Gr}_j(V)$ descends to a Grassmannian bundle $\mathfrak{G}r_j(\mathcal{V})$ over \mathfrak{U} (which may be twisted, i. e. not Zariski-locally trivial).

iii) The assumption $w = 0$ means that the scalars in $\mathrm{GL}(N)$ act trivially on the fibres of V . Hence $\mathrm{PGL}(N)$ acts on V over U here. Again since this action is free, V descends to a vector bundle over \mathfrak{U} .

iv) Here weight 0 means that the scalars in $\mathrm{GL}(N)$ act trivially on the pullback of the given vector bundle to $\mathrm{Gr}_j(V)$. Hence $\mathrm{PGL}(N)$ acts on this

pullback; but it acts freely on the base $\mathrm{Gr}_j(V)$, so the vector bundle descends to $\mathfrak{Gr}_j(\mathcal{V})$.

v) Such a birationally linear map can be represented by an isomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{U}' \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ between dense open substacks $\mathcal{U}' \subseteq \mathrm{Stab}_{t'} \times \mathbb{A}^?$ and $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathrm{Stab}_t$. By i, \mathcal{U} corresponds to an open subscheme $\mathfrak{U} \subseteq \mathfrak{Bun}_t$; the proof of i shows that \mathfrak{U} is a coarse moduli scheme for the stack \mathcal{U} . A straightforward generalization of this argument shows that \mathcal{U}' corresponds to an open subscheme $\mathfrak{U}' \subseteq \mathfrak{Bun}_{t'} \times \mathbb{A}^?$ and that \mathfrak{U}' is again a coarse moduli scheme for \mathcal{U}' . By the universal property of coarse moduli schemes, φ induces an isomorphism $\mathfrak{U}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}$ and thus the required birationally linear map of schemes. \square

Theorem A.7 (Hirschowitz, Russo-Teixidor). *Assume $g \geq 2$. Let F_1 and F_2 be a general pair of vector bundles on C with given types $t_1 = (r_1, d_1)$ and $t_2 = (r_2, d_2)$.*

- i) *If $\chi(t_1, t_2) \geq 0$, then $\dim \mathrm{Hom}(F_1, F_2) = \chi(t_1, t_2)$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^1(F_1, F_2) = 0$.*
- ii) *If $\chi(t_1, t_2) \geq 1$ and $r_1 > r_2$ (resp. $r_1 = r_2$, resp. $r_1 < r_2$), then a general morphism $F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ is surjective (resp. injective, resp. injective with torsionfree cokernel).*

Proof. The cases $r_1 = 0$ and $r_2 = 0$ are trivial, so we may assume $r_1, r_2 \geq 1$; then $\mathrm{Stab}_{t_1} \neq \emptyset \neq \mathrm{Stab}_{t_2}$. By semicontinuity, there is a dense open substack $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathrm{Stab}_{t_1} \times \mathrm{Stab}_{t_2}$ of stable vector bundles F_1, F_2 with $\dim \mathrm{Hom}(F_1, F_2)$ minimal, say equal to m . According to Riemann-Roch, $m \geq \chi(t_1, t_2)$; part i of the theorem precisely claims that we have equality here.

Let $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_1^{\mathrm{univ}}, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathrm{univ}})$ be the vector bundle of rank m over \mathcal{U} whose fibre over F_1, F_2 is $\mathrm{Hom}(F_1, F_2)$. By generic flatness (cf. EGA IV, §6.9), there is a dense open substack \mathcal{V} in the total space of $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_1^{\mathrm{univ}}, \mathcal{F}_2^{\mathrm{univ}})$ such that the cokernel of the universal family of morphisms $F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ is flat over \mathcal{V} . Then its image and kernel are also flat over \mathcal{V} ; we denote the types of cokernel, image and kernel by $t_Q = (r_Q, d_Q)$, $t = (r, d)$ and $t_K = (r_K, d_K)$.

If $r = 0$, then the theorem clearly holds: In this case, the general morphism $\varphi : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ has generic rank zero, so $\varphi = 0$; this means $m = 0$. Together with $m \geq \chi(t_1, t_2)$, this proves i and shows that the hypothesis of ii cannot hold here. Henceforth, we may thus assume $r \neq 0$.

Note that $t_1 = t_K + t$ and $t_2 = t + t_Q$; moreover, we have a canonical morphism of moduli stacks

$$\Phi : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}xt(t, t_K) \times_{\mathcal{C}oh_t} \mathcal{E}xt(t_Q, t)$$

that sends a morphism $\varphi : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ to the extensions

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(\varphi) \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{im}(\varphi) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{im}(\varphi) \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{coker}(\varphi) \rightarrow 0.$$

Conversely, two extensions $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ together with an isomorphism $I \rightarrow J$ determine a morphism $\varphi : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$. Thus Φ is an isomorphism onto the open locus in $\mathcal{E}xt \times_{\mathcal{C}oh} \mathcal{E}xt$ where both extension

sheaves F_1, F_2 are stable vector bundles and $\dim \text{Hom}(F_1, F_2) = m$. Hence the stack dimensions coincide, i. e.

$$m - \chi(t_1, t_1) - \chi(t_2, t_2) = -\chi(t_1, t_K) - \chi(t, t) - \chi(t_Q, t_2).$$

Since χ is biadditive, this is equivalent to

$$m - \chi(t_1, t_2) = -\chi(t_K, t_Q). \quad (8)$$

In particular, $\chi(t_K, t_Q) \leq 0$ follows.

Now suppose that t_K and t_Q were both nonzero. Since the general vector bundles F_1 and F_2 are stable, we then have

$$\frac{d_K}{r_K} < \frac{d_1}{r_1} < \frac{d}{r} < \frac{d_2}{r_2} < \frac{d_Q}{r_Q}.$$

Using the assumption $\chi(t_1, t_2) \geq 0$, we get

$$\frac{\chi(t_K, t_Q)}{r_K r_Q} = 1 - g - \frac{d_K}{r_K} + \frac{d_Q}{r_Q} > 1 - g - \frac{d_1}{r_1} + \frac{d_2}{r_2} = \frac{\chi(t_1, t_2)}{r_1 r_2} \geq 0$$

and hence $\chi(t_K, t_Q) > 0$. This contradiction proves $t_K = 0$ or $t_Q = 0$.

(In some sense, this argument also covers the cases $r_K = 0$ and $r_Q = 0$. More precisely, $r_K = 0$ implies $t_K = 0$ because every rank zero coherent subsheaf of a vector bundle F_1 is trivial. On the other hand, $r_K \neq 0$ and $t_Q = (0, d_Q) \neq 0$ would imply $\chi(t_K, t_Q) = r_K d_Q > 0$ which is again a contradiction.)

In particular, we get $\chi(t_K, t_Q) = 0$; together with equation (8), this proves part i of the theorem.

If $r_1 > r_2$ (resp. $r_1 \leq r_2$), then $r_K > r_Q$ (resp. $r_K \leq r_Q$) and hence $r_K \neq 0 = r_Q$ (resp. $r_K = 0$); we have just seen that this implies $t_Q = 0$ (resp. $t_K = 0$), i. e. the general morphism $\varphi : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ is surjective (resp. injective).

Furthermore, the morphism of stacks $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{Coh}_{t_Q}$ that sends a morphism $\varphi : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ to its cokernel is smooth (due to the open embedding Φ and proposition A.3). If $r_1 < r_2$, then $r_Q \geq 1$, so \mathcal{Bun}_{t_Q} is open and dense in Coh_{t_Q} ; this implies that the inverse image of \mathcal{Bun}_{t_Q} is open and dense in \mathcal{V} , i. e. the general morphism $\varphi : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ has torsionfree cokernel. \square

References

- [1] A. Grothendieck. *Éléments de géométrie algébrique (EGA)*. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 4, 8, 11, 17, 20, 24, 28, 32, 1960–1967.
- [2] A. Hirschowitz. Problèmes de Brill-Noether en rang supérieur. <http://math.unice.fr/~ah/math/Brill/>.
- [3] D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn. *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.

- [4] A. King and A. Schofield. Rationality of moduli of vector bundles on curves. *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, 10(4):519–535, 1999.
- [5] G. Laumon and L. Moret-Bailly. *Champs algébriques*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [6] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan. *Geometric invariant theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [7] P. E. Newstead. Rationality of moduli spaces of stable bundles. *Math. Ann.*, 215:251–268, 1975.
- [8] P. E. Newstead. Correction to: “Rationality of moduli spaces of stable bundles”. *Math. Ann.*, 249(3):281–282, 1980.
- [9] B. Russo and M. Teixidor i Bigas. On a conjecture of Lange. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 8(3):483–496, 1999.
- [10] A. N. Tyurin. Classification of vector bundles over an algebraic curve of arbitrary genus. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 29:657–688, 1965. English translation: *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* 63, 1967.
- [11] A. N. Tyurin. Classification of n -dimensional vector bundles over an algebraic curve of arbitrary genus. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 30:1353–1366, 1966. English translation: *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* 73, 1968.
- [12] A. Vistoli. Intersection theory on algebraic stacks and on their moduli spaces. *Invent. Math.*, 97(3):613–670, 1989.